



September 2014 Board of Directors Meeting – Part 2 Minutes

1. Adoption of the Agenda

Mehran moves to append two motions to the agenda

14. MOTION by Mehran Hydary to approve allocations made from the special projects funding pool to Engineering to Policy Forum

WHEREAS the Co-Chair for the Engineering to Policy forum was applied to Special Projects Funding for \$165.00 for promotional materials and meeting refreshments for when the Engineering to Policy Forum meets for the 1T4-1T5 school year; and

WHEREAS the Engineering to Policy forum is a new student run initiative from the Engineering Society; and

WHEREAS the forum will discuss various items such as Energy Policy, Public Health, Infrastructure, Financial Policy and Education;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Engineering Society allocate \$165.00 from the Special Projects Funding Pool to the Engineering to Policy forum

15. MOTION by Mehran Hydary to approve allocations made from the special projects funding pool to Orientation

WHEREAS Vice Chair Finance of orientation has applied to Special Projects Funding for \$667.95 for the construction and transportation of the Dye Station for Orientation 1T4; and

WHEREAS the tub of the Dye Station from the previous year broke; and

WHEREAS the request to the Special projects Funding Pool is to cover the expenses for the transportation and the tub; and

WHEREAS the Finance Committee did not approve the expenses or funding from the Special Projects Funding Pool because the reference to "Transportation & Materials" in the request was unclear;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Engineering Society disburse \$667.95 from the Special Projects Funding Pool to Orientation for the expenses that only include transportation cost and the cost of the tub for the Dye Station that was made for Orientation 1T4.

Mehran says he got the motions this morning. He says there's no urgency to these motions. Teresa disagrees.

Motion 14 added with unanimous vote, seconded by Cory



Motion 15 added with unanimous vote, seconded by Karan

Ryan moves to remove Motion 13 from the Agenda. He says the legal reasoning was not sound.

Motion 13 removed.

Teresa asks to append a motion to the Agenda.

MOTION by Teresa Nguyen to discuss Actual Homecoming.

Motion moved to Other Business (Item 16)

Matt asks about Item number 11. Matt asks why Motion 13 was a special motion whereas 11 was not. Tabish says 13 concerns the constitution.

Matt says the wording of 11 is a little confusing. Question moved to the motion.

Agenda adopted at 1:56

2. Approval of Minutes

- a. Finance Committee Meeting Minutes: September 15th, 2014
- b. Academic Advocacy Committee Minutes: September 8th, 2014

Minutes approved

3. Officer Reports & Presentations

- a. VP Finance – Mehran Hydary

Saarthak asks what the SEF is. Mehran says it's the Skule Endowment fund, which is supposed to be self-sustaining. He says the Levy fund allows the faculty to submit proposals to improve facilities.

Matt L says the faculty should promote the levy fund along with EngSoc. Mehran says they are making that initiatives.

Ivan says they were handed an ad contract for the Cannon, and he thinks they should have legal counsel for their ad contracts. Mehran says CYU has not given the Society any issues, but he looks into it.

Ishan asks about the standardised funding. Mehran says it was proposed by alumni.



b. VP Communications – Karan Shukla

Matt says mirroring plasma.skule.ca onto the projector.

Yerusha talks about the planners.

Anamjit asks about the feasibility of the Skule Planner.

Ivan asks about the numbers of SKule Planners being removed.

Praneet asks if we can have a countdown to FeO.

Saarthak asks about updating Bylaws.

Anamjit asks to consider environmental things.

Vinson says that a lot of the constitution should be updated.

Mehran says some of the bylaw changes in the summer need to be updated.

Matt L says that a policy for updating Bylaws should be created.

Yerusha says that the minutes need to be more detailed.

Saarthak asks about how the SAC affects students. Karan says they have a financial impact.

Teresa asks about the EngSoc videos.

Matt says that Appendices should be released with the Agenda.

Tabish apologises for not keeping up.

Vinson says there were some issues with the appendix.

c. VP Academic – Ryan Gomes

Saarthak asks what the Faculty standing committee do. Ryan says they make decisions based off their subtopics, such as examinations and scholarships.

Anamjit asks about the UTM satellite issue. Ryan says there have been no consultations.



Matt asks Ryan how he will publicise the meetings.

Matt L asks how Ryan will consult stakeholders for the anti-calendar. Ryan says he will cooperate with the faculty.

Saarthak asks about Midterm evaluations and end of course evaluations. Ryan says 58% of people do the evaluations. Ryan says its up to the professor to provide them to class reps. Ryan says the midterm evaluations will help increase communications between class reps and the professors.

Cory asks about anti-calendar feedback. Ryan says he'll get in touch with the Ombudsman, who will be asking the First Years.

Matt L says the Department Chairs should get more involved with the professors. Matt L says we should get the results of the scantron evaluations.

Teresa says the CEAB will be auditing the faculty. The metrics gained from the end of the course evaluations do affect the audit. Teresa says mid-course evaluations are a big thing for her and Ryan.

d. VP Student Life – Cory Sulpizi

Stephen asks if there have been any issues with Sheridan. Cory says no.

Francis asks about legal consultation for contracts for drinks, food etc. Cory says it's a budget issue and that contracts are done specifically for the Directorships. Cory says they will look at more data.

Anamjit asks about a Frosh Week survey. Cory says ombudsman is doing one.

Saarthak says there were some issues with the First Year orientation survey. Cory tells him to ask Orientation Chair.

Pierre asks about issues with Orientation. Cory says there were some issues with a chant. Cory says it was rectified.

Pierre says his Officer team made a Clubs handbook, and asks whether people will use skule.ca/committees. Cory says it will be a digital handbook..

Ishan asks about Site 10. Cory says most of the work has been done. Cory says that the faculty wanted small lockers but Cory advocated for bigger lockers.



Teresa says Site 10 is officially CEIE. Cory says the Clubs Townhall will be targeted at clubs.

Pierre asks about space in Sanford Fleming Building. Cory hasn't heard anything. Mehran says there hasn't been an update on the SEF.

Teresa says that there is an ENgSoc-Faculty meeting soon.

- e. President – Teresa Nguyen
See Motion 4 for minutes

4. Presentation by Teresa Nguyen on Engineering Society Governance

Via Email, Ernesto asks about PEY for international students. Ernesto says that PEY should be a coop position because of the clause about international students. Teresa says there is a letter about PEY.

Yerusha asks about SGRT meetings. Teresa says they talked about student commons. She says it will be a political game.

Pierre says he's happy someone's talking about Student commons. Pierre is pleased that Teresa is taking steps with its issues. Pierre asks about Teresa's thoughts about Orientation and Hard Hat thefts. Teresa says you have to start somewhere to resolve the issue with hard hat thefts.

Cory responds to Hard Hat thefts. Cory says its important to tell the leaders on campus.

Saarthak asks about Engineering student issues with SAC. Teresa says in 2013 we did a fee diversion referendum.

Ryan asks about Teresa's interest in creating a policy to formalise VPAs timelines. Teresa says she talked to Ryan about strategic planning. Teresa feels that a policy would give future VPAs a better understanding about Faculty appointments. Teresa feels it would give better student representation to the councils. Teresa says there are a lot of things she wants done, and she says she will be doing things that she wants if the Officers will not do it.

Ryan says there have been issues with communications with regards to the faculty meetings. Ryan says he understands Teresa's points, but he says a lot of people do not live in the GTA over summer. Ryan says a policy stops a VPA from making judgement calls in terms of appointing people to committees.



Yerusha speaks about the fee diversion referendum. She says some other colleges also held referendums. She says the University responded with the student societies' summit. Yerusha says she's sitting on the University Affairs board and is pushing them to respond about this issue.

Matt talks about his experiences with the Standing Faculty Council Reps. He says he used Skype. He says there are methods in place to ensure there is appropriate representation.

Praneet says a Policy regarding VPA has to be through the AACs.

Pierre says the UTSU made changes to stop Director proxies.

PRaneet asks about the Academic Advocacy report. Teresa says she will speak to Susan MCahan

5. MOTION for discussion by Ryan Gomes regarding consultation on the UTSU's Board Reform Package, granting them speaking rights.

The SAC begins their presentation. Yolen says the SAC has to change their Board structure according to law. Cameron speaks about the UTSU's purpose to represent 50000 students, as well as providing services and events. He says they are a part of the CFS. Neill speaks about the decision making structure. Yolen says the Board consists of Colleges and Engineering. She says the AGM is on October 30th 2014. Yolen talks about how the new Canadian Not-for-profit Corporations act makes their current board structure illegal. Yolen says their legal counsels advised against different classes for each college and faculty as it would give them certain powers. Yolen speaks about the new Board reform. She says the new Board Reform aligns with their letters patent. She says she wants everyone to be included and she wants to ensure everyone at UofT is safe. Yolen says Engineering will be moved to a Professional Faculties Committee. Yolen says they will discuss student issues. She says Engineering will be able to send representatives to other committees. Yolen says it is possible to switch to the Ontario Corporations act to retain our structures.

Pierre gives the Board the background of UTSU's relationship with EngSoc. Pierre talks about an alternative proposal that Ryan and him made, which mirrors the current board structure. Yolen replies that their legal counsel said it's not feasible.

Yerusha asks about downfalls with having multiple classes, and how the correct Directors will be voted in the UTSUs board structure. Yolen says that students can read a platform and vote on people they like. Yolen says it's easier to create guidelines for what a commuter director would do.



Stephen asks if this is the first time EngSoc has been consulted. Yolen says its their first time at the EngSoc BoD. Stephen asks how people will represent UTM and UTSC. Yolen says UTSU only represents St. George.

Cory asks why Directors could be appointed. Yolen says its an old draft. Cory asks why they couldn't create At-large Directors who could represent equity committees. Yolen says it makes sense to have specialised Directors. Cory says the new Board Directors would create a moral grey area in terms of Democratic elections.

Ryan asks about the Legal counsels not recommending the alternative board reform he drafted. Yolen says Ryans board reform was perfectly legal. Ryan states the only issues were adding a class and removing a class. Yolen says the new CNCA allows people to have 19 separate meetings if someone wants to remove a class.

Matt L says there are fundamental issues with the UTSU's board structure. Matt L asks what happens if a non LGBTQ person who doesn't represent LGTBQ people gets elected. Matt says that there could be a serious representation issue with the labels that the Board reform creates. Matt says the Board structure could create more marginalised groups. Yolen says they've tried to mitigate these issues with this consultation. Yolen says that she's trusting the membership to read a platform and vote for the person they want. Yolen says a lot of other colleges are doing it. Matt says this will disenfranchise more marginalised people.

Stephen asks why we can't be consulted on severe issues like Matt L's concerns. Yolen says its up to the membership to decide whether this representation is good.

Teresa talks about the Nat Post Article criticising the new Board reform. She says its brought a bad light on the University. Yolen says the writer sensationalised everything. Zach says they've had similar discussions at their board meetings.

Yerusha says that the Board Reform Document in the UTSU's package is designed to solicit a bunch of votes based off subjective items. She says its completely partisan.

Cory says Woodsworth and New College are different structures to the UTSU. Cory also dislikes impeaching culture. Yolen thinks she trusts the membership to make a proper.

Karan says that the new Board reform could become undemocratic. Yolen disagrees.

Matt says YorkU and Ryerson have Faculty representations, compared to constituency representations. Yolen replies that they're similar, but not the same.

Recess called by Matt, seconded by Karan. Approved unanimously.



6. MOTION by Mehran Hydari to approve the changes to the Operating Budget of the Engineering Society

WHEREAS the Vice President Finance is required to prepare a budget of operating expenses for the year, in accordance with the Bylaws of the society; and

WHEREAS it is prudent to update the budget throughout the year based on actual expenditures and changing circumstances;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the attached changes to the Operating Budget of the Engineering Society be approved.

Seconded by Matt.

Matt says it looks good.

Yerusha moves to consider items 6-9 as a whole.

Matt L seconds.

6-9 becomes a whole motion.

Matt requests a brief statement from each mover.

Mehran talks about changes.

Cory says the new clubs are fine.

Teresa says all the constitutions are fine.

Mehran says the Finance Committee approved everyone's budgets, except for Min. Min will be left out for a while.

Saarthak asks about budget approval. Mehran says its based off membership. Matt L says the finance committee looks at the budgets in detail and makes recommendations. He wants to improve the transperancy of everything.

Motions passed.

7. MOTION by Cory Sulpizi to accept the affiliation of new clubs within the Engineering Society



WHEREAS the Policy on Club Affiliation (the "Policy") requires that affiliated clubs within the Engineering Society apply and reapply for Engineering Club Status on an annual basis; and

WHEREAS this year's club affiliation process was advertised to all students including clubs affiliated in the previous year; and

WHEREAS all applications were reviewed by the President and the Vice President Student Life to determine eligibility and merit with respect to the Policy; and

WHEREAS only new student clubs recommended for affiliation require approval from the Board of Directors to receive official Engineering Club Status;

BE IT RESOLVED that the recommendations provided in the latest revision of the *Club Affiliation Report, Sept 2014* be adopted.

8. MOTION by the President to ratify the Discipline Club Constitutions

WHEREAS Bylaw 7 Section 1.0.3.b states that the Board may decline the release of Discipline Club Funding. The club does not have a constitution that has been ratified by the Board or is not in compliance with its Board-approved Constitution.

WHEREAS the President has gone through Discipline Club constitutions to verify their compliance.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the following Discipline Club constitutions be ratified by the Board of Directors

- Chemical Engineering Discipline Club
- Civil Engineering Discipline Club
- Computer Engineering Discipline Club
- Electrical Engineering Discipline Club
- Engineering Science Discipline Club
- Industrial Engineering Discipline Club
- Materials Science Engineering Discipline Club
- Mechanical Engineering Discipline Club
- Mineral Engineering Discipline Club

9. SPECIAL MOTION by Mehran Hydari to approve 2014-2015 Discipline Club Funding

WHEREAS the release of funding to recognized Discipline Clubs is authorized annually by the Board per Bylaw 7, 1.0.3c; and



WHEREAS the Finance Committee has reviewed and approved budgets from six (6) of nine (9) Discipline Clubs at the Finance Committee Meeting on September 15, 2014 as per Bylaw 7, 1.0.3c; and

WHEREAS the Finance Committee did not approve the budgets of Materials Sciences Engineering Club and the Engineering Science Club at the Finance Committee Meeting on September 15, 2014 as per Bylaw 7, 1.0.3c; and

WHEREAS Material Sciences Engineering Club and the Engineering Science club have provided updated budgets; and

WHEREAS final enrolment numbers are not yet available from the Registrar's Office;

BE IT RESOLVED that funding be released to the following Discipline Clubs according to the following amounts, 60% to be disbursed following receipt of student fees by the Society, 30% in January 2015 and 10% in March 2015:

- Chemical Engineering Club - \$3,403.00
- Civil Engineering Club - \$3,502.00
- Computer Engineering Club - \$2,993.50
- Electrical Engineering Club - \$5,189.50
- Industrial Engineering Club - \$2,872.00
- Mechanical Engineering Club - \$4,627.00

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that funding be released to the following Discipline Clubs according to the following, 60% to be disbursed following receipt of student fees by the Society, 30% in January 2015 and 10% in March 2015:

- Engineering Science Club – \$1720.00
- Materials Science Club - \$5608.00

10. SPECIAL MOTION by Vinson Truong to grant the Engineering Science Levy Fund appeal

WHEREAS the initial proposal was not recommended due to a misconception that MSE550 was a graduate level course; and

WHEREAS at the July Board of Directors meeting, the project NSCI11 (In-Plane Shearing Test) appeal was not approved due to concerns brought up about the longevity of the course, the cancellation of the Nano-engineering option in Engineering Science, and the lack of formal letters; and

WHEREAS the course is not in jeopardy of being cancelled; and



WHEREAS the Nano-engineering option will be transitioned to a Nano-engineering certificate and/or minor in the near future for which MSE550 will be an option; and

WHEREAS the Department of Materials Science and Engineering Chair, Professor Jun Nogami, has issued a formal letter of approval for this project in response; and

WHEREAS the funding proposal was approved by the Division of Engineering Science Chair, Professor Mark Kortschot and the Engineering Science Club; and

WHEREAS there was also a concern over the change of amount requested from \$14,690.00 to \$9,793.33; and

WHEREAS the initial amount posted was incorrect as the Department of Materials Science and Engineering had confirmed that they will provide a 50 cent on the dollar match on all funds received; and

WHEREAS only \$497,406.75 of the \$600,000.00 from last year's levy fund was allocated and there is a demonstrable need for this funding;

BE IT RESOLVED that \$9,793.33 is allocated to the Division of Engineering Science.

Ben seconds.

Vinson says the letter was distributed. He says the course code is misleading. He says the class size has increased to 45. Vinson says there have been new developments in the field.

Matt speaks for Ernesto. Ernesto believes that the small class size should not be a concern. It is the purpose of the levy fund to do this.

Matt L speaks about fiscal issues with the levy fund. He says there are some issues with it being for Grad students. He says it's a bad precedent for other courses. Vinson says Mehran said there's money for it. Vinson asks for clarification from Matt L. Matt L says that the faculties own mechanisms allow for funding, and that this motion allows Professors to get materials for their own labs.

Mehran says that the society typically pays for 2/3rds. Praneet clarifies.

Saarthak asks what minors are. Vinson clarifies.

Motion passes by 2/3rds majority.



11. MOTION by Marissa Zhang to amend bylaw 3.0.2 of The Elections Bylaw

WHEREAS Bylaw 3.0.2 currently reads:

3.0.2 The Nomination Period for First Year Representatives of the Board of Directors shall commence at 9:00 am on a weekday where classes have begun prior to the Friday of the first full week of classes in the fall term, and remains open for three (3) business days.

WHEREAS the first two full weeks of classes in September are extremely busy, especially for first year students; and

WHEREAS the email reminder for elections was sent out this year on Friday, September 5th at 4:29 PM, and the deadline for submitting a nomination was Tuesday, September 9th at 5:00 PM; and

WHEREAS first year students might not be used to checking their emails on a daily basis; and

WHEREAS it is important to provide students enough time to seriously consider the position that they're running for and gather nomination signatures; and

WHEREAS many first years have voiced complaints about the nomination period being too short;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Bylaw 3.0.2 is amended to read:

3.0.2 The Nomination Period for First Year Representatives of the Board of Directors shall commence at 9:00 am on a weekday where classes have begun prior to the Friday of the first full week of classes in the fall term, and remains open for at minimum five (5) business days.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Bylaw 6.0.3 is added to read:

The Nomination Period for ~~PEY~~, First Year, and Second Year Class Representatives shall commence at 9:00 am on a weekday where classes have begun prior to the Friday of the first full week of classes in the fall term, and remains open for at minimum five (5) business days.

Ryan seconds

Marissa moves to add another BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED in the general elections bylaw



0.0.5 Elections for First Year Class Reps and Board Reps will occur simultaneously. ~~at the same time during the first two full weeks of class.~~

Ryan seconds.

Matt L makes a further amendment. Matt wants to remove the first two full weeks of class. Friendly amendment.

Amendment approved.

Marissa feels that there wasn't enough time for First Years to be elected.

Ryan feels that the compressed timelines didn't work. He says the class reps agrees.

Matt L says Ernesto agrees, but Matt L thinks they have to be cautious, even though he agrees with the motion's spirit.

Matt L moves to move this motion to a Committee of the Officers and the First Year Board Reps with the consultation of the Class Reps (Ad-hoc committee), and return to the Board of Directors.

Seconded by Teresa.

Ryan is not in favour of moving this motion to a new committee.

Matt L says the committee will have to report back to the board. He says it gives the Board more time.

Cory says he doesn't like quick bylaw changes.

Teresa says that it would put a lot of pressure on the discipline clubs.

Marissa highlights that she wants these changes to be made, and that the committee should follow through.

Teresa asks to change it so the committee comes back in November.

Cory seconds

Amendment passes.

Marissa says its important that the right people get elected.



Motion is referred to committee. Ryan opposes.

~~SPECIAL MOTION by the Chief Returning Officer to amend Bylaw 2 The Directors Bylaw~~

~~**WHEREAS** Bylaw 2 Section 2.1.1 c to 2.1.1 k currently reads:~~

~~2.1.1. The following positions shall be Ex Officio Director positions:~~

- ~~c. The Chemical Chair, appointed by the Chemical Engineering Club;~~
- ~~d. The Civil Chair, appointed by the Civil Engineering Club;~~
- ~~e. The Electrical Chair, appointed by the Electrical Engineering Club;~~
- ~~f. The Computer Chair, appointed by the Computer Engineering Club;~~
- ~~g. The Engineering Science Chair, appointed by the Engineering Science Club;~~
- ~~h. The Industrial Chair, appointed by the Industrial Engineering Club;~~
- ~~i. The Materials Chair, appointed by the Metallurgy and Materials Science Club;~~
- ~~j. The Mining Chair, appointed by the Mining Club;~~
- ~~k. The Mechanical Chair, appointed by the Mechanical Engineering Club; and~~

~~**WHEREAS** the Discipline Club Chairs hold positions of extreme importance in the overall governance of the Engineering Society; and~~

~~**WHEREAS** permitting individual clubs to appoint Chairs without the need for consultation of their constituency is not in good faith of the democratic and transparent ideology of the Society.~~

~~**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** 2.1.1 c to 2.1.1 k now reads:~~

- ~~c. The Chemical Chair, elected by the Chemical Engineering Club membership;~~
- ~~d. The Civil Chair, elected by the Civil Engineering Club membership;~~
- ~~e. The Electrical Chair, elected by the Electrical Engineering Club membership;~~
- ~~f. The Computer Chair, elected by the Computer Engineering Club membership;~~
- ~~g. The Engineering Science Chair, elected by the Engineering Science Club membership;~~
- ~~h. The Industrial Chair, elected by the Industrial Engineering Club membership;~~
- ~~i. The Materials Chair, elected by the Metallurgy and Materials Science Club membership;~~
- ~~j. The Mining Chair, elected by the Mining Club membership;~~
- ~~k. The Mechanical Chair, elected by the Mechanical Engineering Club membership;~~

~~**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Discipline Club Constitutions be amended to reflect the changes accordingly~~

12. MOTION by Tabish Gilani to strike the Policy and Structures Committee as a standing committee of the Board of Directors



WHEREAS the Policy and Structures committee is a standing committee of the board as defined in Bylaw 1, Chapter 4.13.1c; and

WHEREAS in the past Two (2) years, EngSoc believed there wasn't a need for the Policy and Structures committee due to restructuring of council and the Board; and

WHEREAS it was presumed that the Board would essentially fulfill the role of the Policy and Structures committee through debate and discussion; and

WHEREAS this has led to inconsistencies and inaccuracies within the bylaws and policies of the Engineering Society within the past two years; and

WHEREAS there is a need to generate, revise, and update our bylaws and policies;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT a Policy and Structures Committee be struck with the Speaker as Chair; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Policy and Structures committee consist of the Speaker, VP Communications, Two (2) members of the board, and Two (2) members of the Engineering Society

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the board direct the Speaker to conduct the nominations and elections process for the two (2) board of directors immediately

Mehran seconds.

Tabish says it will make policies better.

Mehran agrees.

Karan moves to call questions.

Cory seconds.

Questions called.

Motion passed.

Ryan, Peter, Stephen, Saarthak and Mehran nominate themselves.

Saarthak says First Years don't understand bylaws.



Ishan says there will be two people from the society at large.

Nominees give their speeches.

Ryan and Stephen elected.

13. ~~SPECIAL MOTION by Ryan Gomes regarding the bylaw concerning eligibility for president~~

~~WHEREAS the Engineering Society's bylaws currently mandates that the president be a fourth year student; and~~

~~WHEREAS consultations regarding the SAC's board reform have brought to light that regulating certain positions to groups that are not classes is illegal under the new federal Act; and~~

~~WHEREAS the Ontario legislation under which the Engineering Society is currently incorporated under will be changing in less than three years to match the federal Act; and~~

~~WHEREAS the Engineering Society is currently the only undergraduate student governance organization on campus which regulates its President to being a fourth year student; and~~

~~WHEREAS the regulation of certain positions to fourth years, as a practice, is unfair and perpetuates a culture of classism.~~

~~BE IT RESOLVED THAT bylaw 1, chapter 3.1.3 be changed to read as follows:~~

~~"The President must be a full member as defined in MEMBERSHIP AND FEES.~~

~~a. It is recommended, but not required, that the President be in the fourth year of an undergraduate program in the Faculty in the September of his/her term of office and thereafter."~~

14. MOTION by Mehran Hydary to approve allocations made from the special projects funding pool to Engineering to Policy Forum

WHEREAS the Co-Chair for the Engineering to Policy forum was applied to Special Projects Funding for \$165.00 for promotional materials and meeting refreshments for when the Engineering to Policy Forum meets for the 1T4-1T5 school year; and

WHEREAS the Engineering to Policy forum is a new student run initiative from the Engineering Society; and



WHEREAS the forum will discuss various items such as Energy Policy, Public Health, Infrastructure, Financial Policy and Education;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Engineering Society allocate \$165.00 from the Special Projects Funding Pool to the Engineering to Policy forum

Cory seconds

Mehran likes that students are trying to get money from special projects fund.

Ryan asks if they're affiliated. Cory says no. Ryan is uncomfortable with them getting funding from the funding pool. Karan agrees and says Teresa should get them affiliated, and wants it minutes that she will get them affiliated.

Teresa says she appointed the executives. Teresa says she supports it as the president. Teresa says she's their direct contact. Teresa says she supports their motion. Teresa says she would pursue Club Affiliation if it is warranted.

Cory says it's a conflict of interest. He thinks the fact that it gives them a special privilege over affiliated clubs, because they have an EngSoc exec supporting. He doesn't believe that it's relevant for the special projects fund.

Saarthak asks what the special projects fund is for. Mehran clarifies.

Praneet asks why they didn't get club funding. Matt L says they're not affiliated yet.

Teresa reiterates that she appointed the Execs. Teresa doesn't feel that it's an endorsement. She feels that it is a special project that she is overseeing. Teresa talks about how Skule Kup was endorsed similarly by the VP Student Life. Teresa says she's supporting them as much as she can. She wants barriers to be broken down. She says they're a student group endorsed and supported by the President.

Mehran moves to amend funding to 55 dollars, only for promotional materials. Praneet seconds.

Motion amended.

Matt L thinks it will be an affiliated club, unlike Skule Kup which was a committee. He says Skule Kup is more regular.

Ryan calls questions. Marissa seconds.



Motion passes. Ryan opposes.

15. MOTION by Mehran Hydary to approve allocations made from the special projects funding pool to Orientation

WHEREAS Vice Chair Finance of orientation has applied to Special Projects Funding for \$667.95 for the construction and transportation of the Dye Station for Orientation 1T4; and

WHEREAS the tub of the Dye Station from the previous year broke; and

WHEREAS the request to the Special projects Funding Pool is to cover the expenses for the transportation and the tub; and

WHEREAS the Finance Committee did not approve the expenses or funding from the Special Projects Funding Pool because the reference to "Transportation & Materials" in the request was unclear;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Engineering Society disburse \$667.95 from the Special Projects Funding Pool to Orientation for the expenses that only include transportation cost and the cost of the tub for the Dye Station that was made for Orientation 1T4.

Karan seconds

Mehran passes around the Frosh Week Dye Station presentation. Mehran says he got three cheque recs.

Karan moves to call questions. Saarthak seconds.

Questions called.

Motion passed.

15. OTHER BUSINESS

SPECIAL HOMECOMING

Teresa explains the plan and what will happen. Teresa says it will attract alumni. Teresa talks about a ticket.

Karan feels that it would be good if there is money for it.

Mehran is concerned about the timeline and marketing.



Teresa says it's good for the Alumni

Mehran asks if it will affect other Directorships. Teresa says no.

Matt L asks if the Ex Officios are funding it through their clubs.

Cory says we should look into the overlap of the budgets.

MOTION to allocate funding from the Special Projects Funding Pool to Special Homecoming

BE IT RESOLVED that up to \$725 from the Special Projects Funding Pool is dispersed by cheque requisition to the actual Homecoming event

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT CHEQUE RECS RELATING TO THIS PROJECT FIRST DRAW UPON THE BUDGETS OF THE INDICATED (LGMB, CANNON, SUDS) STUDENT GROUPS AT THE DISCRETION OF THE VP FINANCE

Motion moved by Cory, seconded by Karz

Ryan calls questions Marissa Seconds.

Motion passes

16. ADJOURNMENT – 6:33

Seconded by Cory

Meeting adjourned.



Attendance

Attendance		
President	Teresa Nguyen	
VP Finance	Mehran Hydari	
VP Communication	Karan Shukla	
VP Academic	Ryan Gomes	
VP Student Life	Cory Sulpizi	
Directors of the Board		
At-Large	Praneet Bagga	
At-Large	Peter Luo	
At-Large	Yerusha Nuh	
At-Large	Marissa Zhang	
Chemical Representative	Ishan Gupta	
Civil Representative	Ernesto Diaz Lozano Patino	
Computer Representative	Shubham Manchanda	
Electrical Representative	Anamjit Singh Sivia	
Engineering Science Representative	Ashkan Parcham-Kashani	
Industrial Representative	Benjamin Leung	
Materials Science Representative	Vinson Truong	
Mechanical Representative	<i>Vacant</i>	
Mineral Representative	Ivan Zdrakovic	
First Year	Stephen Xu	
First Year	Francis Kang	
First Year	Saarthak Saxena	
Speaker (Non-Voting)	Tabish Gilani	

A – Absent

AwR – Absent with Regrets

P – Proxy