



## May 2014 Board of Directors Meeting Part 1

### 1. Adoption of the Agenda - 1:20pm

**Matt calls to order at 1:20pm**

Matt says a few words to the Board. Matt thanks everyone for their support

**Matt moves to adopt agenda. Tabish seconds**

Ryan Gomes tables motion 7.

Motion 7 no longer on agenda.

Mehran moves adds new motion to the agenda:

**MOTION** by Mehran Hydary to transfer leftover funds from Temporary Special Levy Fund to the Endowment Fund

**WHEREAS** there is \$203,815.60 remaining in the levy fund account from the 1T3-1T4 year; and

**WHEREAS** it is prudent to leave \$10,000 in the Levy Fund bank account to deal with unexpected fees; and

**WHEREAS** according to the Temporary Special Levy Fund renewal question, left over funds may be transferred to the Skule Endowment Fund if approved by the Board of Directors; and

**WHEREAS** the Engineering Society has agreed to donate money to the Site 10 Building from the Skule Endowment Fund and it would be prudent to use these leftover funds as part of that donation;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board approves the transfer of \$193,815.60 from the Temporary Special Levy Fund to the Skule Endowment Fund.

**Seconded by Ryan Gomes**

**Motion added unanimously to the agenda. Appended.**

**Matt moves to approve Agenda**

**Agenda approved unanimously.**

### 2. Approval of Minutes

- a. March Board of Directors Meeting: March 29, 2014



- b. April Board of Directors Meeting: April 5, 2014
- c. Officer Meeting: May 5, 2014

**Matt moves to approve minutes**

**Seconded by Ben Leung.**

**Motion Carried unanimously**

### 3. Officer Reports

- a. President – Teresa Nguyen
- b. VP Finance – Mehran Hydary  
Pierre asks about the purpose of the Endowment fund.

Mehran says the purpose of the fund is to provide money for services. It collects interest over time.

Pierre disagrees with the collecting interest part.

Teresa says the money is required to provide money to improve student learning experience. Teresa believes students should consider supporting the Endowment fund.

- c. VP Communications – Karan Shukla

Pierre asks why the Skulebook had low resolution pictures. Karz says hes looking into it.

Yerusha asks if knowledge about courses and digest will be transferred to the new Directors. Billy speaks to that by saying its already been done.

- d. VP Academic – Ryan Gomes

Ernesto asks when the academic committee will be striked up. Ryan says its in the new agenda.

Billy asks whether the Student Research Course will be optional. Ryan says they'll look into it.

Marissa asks about why the due date for nominations for the Academic committee was yesterday. Matt says that it is for people who couldn't make it to this meeting.

Matt says that the faculty is very tough on what they say.

Tabish asks about the course evaluation framework and its success. Ryan says he has not had any contact with the faculty regarding it.



e. VP Student Life – Cory Sulpizi

Pierre asks if we have Hart House Farm booked for Frosh Week. Tabish says its booked for the next week. Tabish says they've organised something else for the weekend.

Pierre asks who has Hart House farm. Tabish says he doesn't know.

**4. Project Director By-Elections and Oath of Office for the 1T4-1T5 Skule™ Year**

By-elections begin with Stores Director election.

**Stores Director Electee - Robert Goldberg**

Hard Hat Café Director Elections begin.

**Hard Hat Café Director Electee - Armando Bablanian**

SysAdmin elections begin

**SysAdmin Electee – Jeff Li**

Oaths of Office completed.

**5. Election and Oath of Office of the Speaker of Council for the 1T4-1T5 Skule™ Year**

Speaker candidate is Tabish Gilani.

**Speaker – Reopen Nominations**

**6. Motion by Yerusha Nuh to oppose the restructuring of the UTSU Board of Directors as outlined in Motion 5 of the UTSU Policy & Procedures Committee meeting on April 9 and 10 of 2014, and included in the Appendix:**

**WHEREAS** the motion seeks to remove the seats of all College and Faculty directors, including those of the Faculty of Engineering Directors; and

**WHEREAS** the motion does not specify any alternative means of representation for Engineering students; and

**WHEREAS** no stakeholders, including the incoming UTSU Board of Directors and representatives or leaders of colleges and faculties, were consulted by the mover(s); and

**WHEREAS** seats not being allocated in proportion to the size of constituencies would result in an imbalance distribution of power; and



**WHEREAS** the proposed change would result in a body whose members may not fairly represent the diverse interest of UTSU members of all College and Faculty constituencies, effectively making the UTSU's highest body of decision-making undemocratic;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the University of Toronto Engineering Society oppose any restructuring of the UTSU Board of Directors that follows or adheres to the spirit of the aforementioned proposal; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the University of Toronto Engineering Society instruct the Faculty of Engineering Directors to voice this opposition to the UTSU Board of Directors whenever necessary.

**Ashkan Seconds**

Yerusha feels that the motion is detailed enough.

Ryan abstains from the vote due to a conflict of interest.

**Ryan moves to appoint Matt Lattavo as the speaker for the meeting**

**Cory seconds.**

Majority votes. Carries. Matt is appointed as temporary speaker.

Pierre speaks in opposition to the motion. Pierre says that no effort has been made to invite the UTSU to motions relating to them including this one. Pierre also says that pro-fac directors exist in this new board reform, so the motion is inaccurate.

Ashkan agrees with Pierre. Ashkan has to leave.

Yerusha says that the Engineering Society is not obligated to invite the UTSU, because they represent Engineering students only. Yerusha also clarifies that there was no seat allocated to Engineering Directors in the board reform.

Paulo updates everyone on UTSU matters. Paulo says this motion was passed in a joint meeting between old and new UTSU board members. He says the UTSU has not created the committees required to draft the board reform recommendations. He says the Engineering UTSU BoD reps are trying to push an alternative board reform.

Karan mentions that the UTSU was officially condemned two years ago by the EngSoc BoD.

Ryan clarifies the motion pushing procedure at the UTSU board, stating that the reform was passed by last year's board.

Billy says that the EngSoc must represent themselves as democratic.

Pierre advises the board to table the motion till the next meeting.



Yerusha says that the EngSoc needs to voice their opposition against the board reform.

Teresa says that she'll try and contact the UTSU ahead of time in the future.

**Motion carries. Ryan Gomes abstains from the vote.**

**7. ~~Motion by Ryan Gomes to define the position of the Engineering Society on the Student Societies Summit Report:~~**

~~**WHEREAS** the Student Societies Summit was called to address certain grievances with the UTSU, brought on by many colleges and the University of Toronto Engineering Society; and~~

~~**WHEREAS** the administration acted, in good faith, to craft a solution for many problems outlined by student societies; and~~

~~**WHEREAS** any solution proposed would inevitably have some recommendations that the University of Toronto Engineering Society could not support; and~~

~~**WHEREAS** the final report has produced a divisive response from student societies, requiring a clear clarification of the University of Toronto Engineering Society's position;~~

~~**BE IT RESOLVED** that the University of Toronto Engineering Society support the creation of an overseeing appeals board, headed by an impartial individual, to bring greater oversight into the elections process;~~

~~**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the University of Toronto Engineering Society oppose the suggested changes towards the CRO position while still acknowledging its positive intentions;~~

~~**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the University of Toronto Engineering Society support the suggested investigation into creating guidelines that increase accountability and a proper definition for operating in an "open, accessible and democratic fashion", with binding consequences attached to societies that do not meet them;~~

~~**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the University of Toronto Engineering Society oppose any additional attempts, beyond the supported provisions above, to encroach on the autonomy of student societies;~~

~~**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the University of Toronto Engineering Society advocate for the swift implementation of the supported provisions and support the overall spirit of the Student Societies Summit Report.~~

**Motion removed from agenda as per earlier motion.**

**8. MOTION by Cory Sulpizi to amend the Policy on Club Affiliation**

**WHEREAS** the current club affiliation process is not thorough enough; and



**WHEREAS** there were reported incidents of illegitimate clubs becoming affiliated last year; and

**WHEREAS** clause 2.6 of the Policy on Club Affiliation is largely ignored;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Policy on Club Affiliation Section 1.7, which reads:

*1.7. For clubs that have not previously received recognition in the past academic year, Official Engineering Club recognition is granted only after the approval of the Board of Directors.*

Be amended to read:

*1.7. For clubs that have not previously received recognition in the past academic year:*  
*a. The affiliation review process will include an interview with the VP Student Life and / or appointees to verify legitimacy.*  
*b. Official Engineering Club recognition is granted only after the approval of the Board of Directors.*

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that Policy on Club Affiliation Section 2.6, which reads:

*2.6. The club must attend an Engineering Society Affiliated Clubs Orientation Session at least once per fiscal year, run twice a semester.*

Be amended to read:

*2.6. The club may be asked to attend an interview with the VP Student Life and / or appointees to verify legitimacy, at the discretion of the VP Student Life or President.*

**Motion seconded by Karan**

Cory says that it's a failsafe for illegitimate clubs slipping through.

Karz says that it helps solidify the EngSoc as the provider for the club.

**Matt elects Teresa as speaker.**

**Matt makes the recommendation to amend 2.6 to read:**

*2.6. The club may be asked to attend an interview with the VP Student Life and / or appointees to verify legitimacy, at the discretion of the VP Student Life or President **at least once every two years.***

**Ryan seconds.** Ryan says that the original amendment is unfair as it asks them for an interview too frequently.



Billy asks whether the interviews would put an extra load on the VP Student Life.

Matt agrees with Billy.

Cory agrees with Billy. However, Cory believes that it is too much. Cory says that its unnecessary to ask a club informally of their legitimacy so frequently.

Ben says that the application process should verify their legitimacy

Pierre says that some clubs have nice applications just so that they can get money.

**Matt's motion passes by majority.**

**Ryan moves to call the motion and vote clause by clause.**

**Cory seconds.**

**Motion carries.**

**First clause carries.**

**Cory moves to table the motion to a committee, organised by the Officer team.**

**Ryan seconds.**

**Teresa appoints Matt as Speaker.**

**Motion is moved to a Committee created by the Officers.**

**9. Motion by Teresa Nguyen to create the Alumni Outreach Director position:**

**WHEREAS** Bylaw 2 Section 1.20.1 of the Engineering Society stipulates that a Temporary Internal Director may be created by a motion of the Board of Directors; and

**WHEREAS** the Engineering Alumni Office has been looking for student involvement and volunteering for several years now; and

**WHEREAS** the Engineering Alumni Association has recently become extremely active from new membership and seeks out involvement from students; and

**WHEREAS** the Engineering Society already has existing opportunities to engage our Alumni such as through Hi-Skule, UTEK, Alumni Suds, submissions for our Archivist; and

**WHEREAS** current members of the Engineering Society are future Alumni and this opportunity space is underplayed;



**BE IT RESOLVED** that the board creates the new position of Alumni Outreach Director as a Temporary Internal Director as per bylaw 2, Section 1.20.1;

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Alumni Outreach Director is overseen by the President;

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the candidates will go through an interviewing process with at minimum, the President and Sonia de Buglio, Director of Alumni Relations from the Engineering Alumni Office;

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that applications for the position will be sent out within the next 5 business days;

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the new Alumni Outreach Director be elected at the June 2014 Board Meeting;

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that this position is discussed at the February 2015 Board Meeting for consideration as a permanent Project Directorship.

**Seconded by Ernesto.**

Teresa says the alumni office is excited to have a student representation.

Ernesto says that alumni relations are important.

Pierre says that there's nothing wrong with the motion, and says that they don't use the alumni relations network.

Tabish says that the external relations director would fall under it, and that the president should deal with the alumni office.

Teresa says that Sonia (Alumni) already has plans for the committee. Teresa says that it doesn't add a layer of bureaucracy.

**Karan moves to call the questions.**

**Motion to call carries.**

**Motion to appoint Alumni outreach Director carries.**

**10. MOTION by Mehran Hydari to grant the Engineering Science Levy Fund appeal:**

**WHEREAS** at the February Board of Directors meeting, project NSCI11 (InPlane Shearing Test) was not approved; and

**WHEREAS** the Division of Engineering Science disagrees with the Finance Committee recommendation regarding that project and wishes that the project be funded; and



**WHEREAS** there are still sufficient funds in the Levy Fund account;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that \$9,793.33 is allocated to the Division of Engineering Science from the levy fund pool for project NSCI11.

**Ben seconds motion.**

Mehran says they were turned down by the Committee. He says that the course is a grad level course with only 30 people, and the levy fund shouldn't be used for grad.

Ryan says that EngSci already went to the faculty council for the money, and that the project might be cut by the Faculty Council along with Nano engineering.

Vincent says that the course is available during fourth year.

Pierre says that the course is still pretty big.

Ryan says the request is coming from EngSci, which is cutting the program.

Ben says that it is an undergrad course.

Matt speaks that it is in fact considered a graduate level course.

Vincent says that he was planning on taking the course. He says there was some interest from MSE students.

Ryan says that MSE should ask them, and not EngSci.

Vincent says that EngSci still thinks it's important.

**Tabish moves to call the questions. Motion carries. Questions called.**

**Motion to fund fails and is defeated unanimously.**

**11. MOTION by Mehran Hydari regarding the Bahen Centre for Information Technology Student Study Space**

**WHEREAS** the University of Toronto Facilities & Infrastructure Planning Director has requested \$101,000 to provide additional study space in the Bahen Centre hallways, including fixed counters and stools, and convenient electrical receptacles; and

**WHEREAS** The Finance Committee did not feel that there was enough information on January 31, 2014 to make an informed decision; and

**WHEREAS** The University of Toronto has provided estimates from the contractors who will conduct the work for the space; and



**WHEREAS** there are still sufficient funds in the Levy Fund account;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that ~~\$101,000~~ 2/3rds of \$101,000 be allocated to the University of Toronto from the levy fund pool for the Bahen Centre Study Space

**Ryan seconds.**

**Mehran moves to amend the BE IT RESOLVED clause to 2/3<sup>rd</sup>s of \$101,000 be allocated...**

**Matt states it as a friendly amendment.**

Mehran says that he hasn't tested out the chairs that want to be placed as a part of the study space.

Pierre asks if EngSoc supports using levys to buy more study space, or just prefers advocating and convincing the faculty for the money. He wonders what the EngSoc should do.

Ryan says that it is legitimate, and understands what Pierre is saying.

Yerusha says that study space is a requirement for all University students. Yerusha however believes that study space does not improve the learning experience as much as lab equipment.

Karz says that it may not just affect Engineering students, and that CompScis may use the space.

Pierre makes a comment that a similar motion was passed in a previous year.

Billy asks Mehran where the study space would be located and how it would be place.

**Motion fails.**

## **12. MOTION by Mehran Hydary to strike the 2014-2015 Finance Committee**

**WHEREAS** club funding and conference funding allocations benefit from the presence of the Finance Committee; and

**WHEREAS** the VP Finance is in need of a network of individuals to help him in determining allocations and courses of action throughout the year; and

**WHEREAS** a finance committee is a good practice according to the Policy on Finances; and

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the Finance Committee, a Standing Committee of the Board, be created; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Finance Committee be composed of the VP Finance, the VP Student Life, three (3) members at large and two (2) appointed Directors of the Board.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Finance Committee shall have a term that begins immediately upon appointment and ends at the Joint Council Meeting 2015; and



**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board of Directors direct the Speaker to conduct the nominations and election process for the two (2) Board of Directors members of the Finance Committee immediately.

**Seconded by Cory**

**Karz moves to call the question. Motion carries.**

**Full motion carries.**

Tabish asks about the time commitments for being on the committee.

**Pierre moves to adjourn and move to recess. Shamail seconds.**

**Matt clarifies the new date of meeting.**

**Motion carries. Recess until 6pm Wednesday.**

**Wednesday the 21<sup>st</sup> of May 2014 at 6pm is the new time and date of Part 2 of the meeting.**

## May Board of Directors Meeting: Part 2

**Back from Recess – 6:13**

**Motion 12 is continued.** Two board members must volunteer to the Finance Committee.

**Ivan and Ernesto nominate themselves for the Finance Committee.**

### **13. Motion by Praneet Bagga to strike the Academic Advocacy Committee as a Standing Committee of the Board of Directors**

**WHEREAS** the Faculty Council and Faculty Council Standing Committees will be preparing for a variety of academic initiatives this year; and

**WHEREAS** the Representatives of the Engineering Society, as the unified voice of the student body, require guidance and direction from a committee of interested students in their representation to Faculty Council and its Standing Committees; and

**WHEREAS** initiatives such as an Engineering Society anti-calendar and continuous curriculum improvements require student input;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the Academic Advocacy Committee be struck under the guidance of the VP Academic Portfolio; and



---

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Academic Advocacy Committee be composed of the VP Academic, Three (3) members of the Board of Directors, and Three (3) Faculty Council Standing Committee student representatives; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Board of Directors direct the Speaker to conduct the nominations and election process for the three (3) Board of Directors members of the Academic Advocacy Committee immediately.

**Karan Seconds.**

Karan moves to call the questions. **Motion carries unanimously.**

**Academic Committee motion is unanimously carried.**

Ernesto, Praneet, Ivan, Ben and Marissa nominate themselves to run for the Academic Advocacy committee.

Marissa speaks to the Board about her platform.

Ernesto speaks about his platform.

Praneet speaks about his platform.

Ben speaks about his platform.

Matt tallies up the votes.

**Elected BoD Representatives – Marissa, Praneet and Ernesto.**

**14. MOTION by Mehran Hydari to authorize summer Orientation spending:**

**WHEREAS** the Orientation Committee must pay for F!rosh Kit items, F!rosh Nite deposit and other items immediately; and

**WHEREAS** the 2014-2015 Operating Budget will not be completed until after these expenditures must take place; and

**WHEREAS** the Orientation Committee cannot finalize their complete budget immediately;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board authorize the signing officers of the Society to disburse, upon the authorization of the Vice-President, Finance, up to \$30,000 against the Orientation account of the 2014-2015 Operating Budget provided that the expenses are exigent.

**Seconded by Tabish**

Mehran states that this motion must be passed ASAP.

Karan moves to call questions. **Motion fails**



Teresa states that we can vote on this motion online. There was no need to call the questions. There was a memorandum that exists. All of the board already passed the motion using online signatures. Teresa believes that all legal obligations have fulfilled.

**Motion carries.**

**15. MOTION by Mehran Hydary to approve allocations made from the Conference Funding pool to UTAT/~~Destination Imagination:~~**

**WHEREAS** there is \$1,150 remaining in the Conference Funding pool for the 1T3-1T4 year; and

**WHEREAS** UTAT and ~~Destination Imagination~~ have applied for Conference Funding amount to a total of \$2000 for 26 students

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the 26 students from UTAT will each receive \$42 and each student from ~~Design Imagination~~ will receive \$115 from the Conference Funding pool.

**Seconded by Karan**

**Mehran proposes his amendment to remove Destination Imagination from the Motion.**

**Seconded by Tabish**

Yerusha asks about Design Imagination.

**Full motion carries unanimously.**

**16. MOTION by Mehran Hydary to approve allocations made from the special projects funding pool to University of Toronto Design Destination**

**WHEREAS** there is \$858.70 remaining in the special projects funding pool for the 1T3-1T4 year; and

**WHEREAS** University of Toronto Design Destination have applied for special projects funding for \$1,536.91 for tools as they take part in Design Destination's central challenges and instant challenges every year;

**WHEREAS** the tools they had access to previously are no longer available from the UC Follies; and

**BE IT RESOLVED** that University of Toronto Design Destination will receive \$858.70 from the special projects funding pool for tools to be available for the students of University of Toronto Design Destination

**Seconded by Teresa**

Mehran explains that he has no problems with it.



Teresa supports the motion. Teresa says that it's a big deal.

Tabish agrees with Teresa.

Praneet asks what tools they need. Mehran says it's listed on the appendix.

**Motion carries unanimously.**

- 17. MOTION** by Mehran Hydary to transfer leftover funds from Temporary Special Levy Fund to the Endowment Fund

**WHEREAS** there is \$203,815.60 remaining in the levy fund account from the 1T3-1T4 year; and

**WHEREAS** it is prudent to leave \$10,000 in the Levy Fund bank account to deal with unexpected fees; and

**WHEREAS** according to the Temporary Special Levy Fund renewal question, left over funds may be transferred to the Skule Endowment Fund if approved by the Board of Directors; and

**WHEREAS** the Engineering Society has agreed to donate money to the Site 10 Building from the Skule Endowment Fund and it would be prudent to use these leftover funds as part of that donation;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board approves the transfer of \$193,815.60 from the Temporary Special Levy Fund to the Skule Endowment Fund.

**Seconded by Karz**

Mehran says we promised a one million dollar donation over three years to site 10 from the SEF and this year we are giving \$333,333.333

Karan states that we need to prioritise these types of motions.

Cory asks what happens otherwise. Teresa says it would be bad when EngSoc is being audited.

Ernesto asks for clarification on the two funds. Teresa explains that they serve two different purposes.

Yerusha clarifies that transferring it would give us more freedom.

**Motion carries unanimously.**

**18. OTHER BUSINESS**

**Teresa moves to re-open CRO nominations while honouring the CRO nominations from the previous period.**



Teresa states that it abides by the Constitution. Teresa states that it's been hard to interview the only CRO candidate.

**Ernesto seconds.**

Cory asks if it is unfair.

Karan disagrees.

Tabish agrees with Cory.

Yerusha agrees with Cory. Yerusha thinks that we're only reopening because there's only one candidate.

Cory agrees with Yerusha.

Karz says it's because we can't get a hold of him.

Ernesto thinks that it's more like reopening nominations.

Yerusha thinks that you can do email interviews. Praneet agrees.

Teresa says that Matt has not become unavailable. She says they're having a hard time.

**Teresa moves to call the questions. Question called.**

**Motion failed.**

**Informal discussion called by Matt.**

**MOTION** by Yerusha Nuh to cancel the nominations for the CRO if both the following conditions are not met

1. **An Interview is not scheduled in the next 72 hours as of May 21<sup>st</sup> 2014.**  
**;and**
2. **Said interview takes place before or on 29th May 2014.**

**WHEREAS** the President as well the former CRO have put in a great deal of effort to schedule an interview to no avail;

**WHEREAS** the BoD is in need of a CRO

**WHEREAS** the board feels this is adequate due diligence to provide ample opportunity for an interview.

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the president makes one further attempt to schedule an interview with the candidat



**University of Toronto Engineering Society  
May 2014 Board of Directors Meeting Agenda**

*May 17, 2014  
1:00pm  
GB202*

---

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if no interview is scheduled before May 24<sup>th</sup> or conducted before May 30<sup>th</sup>, the nominations are cancelled and void.

**Seconded by Tabish.**

**Motion carries.**

**19. ADJOURNMENT 7:20**



## Attendance

<b>Officers</b>		
President	Teresa Nguyen	X
VP Finance	Mehran Hydari	X
VP Communication	Karan Shukla	X
VP Academic	Ryan Gomes	X
VP Student Life	Cory Sulpizi	X
<b>Directors of the Board</b>		
At-Large	Praneet Bagga	R
At-Large	Leter Luo	X
At-Large	Yerusha Nuh	X
At-Large	Marissa Zhang	X
Chemical Representative	Ishan Gupta	R
Civil Representative	Ernesto Diaz Lozano Patino	X
Computer Representative	<i>Vacant</i>	R
Electrical Representative	Anamjit Singh Sivia	R
Engineering Science Representative	Ashkan Parcham-Kashani	X
Industrial Representative	Benjamin Leung	X
Materials Science Representative	Vinson Truong	L-R
Mechanical Representative	Tabish Gilani	X
Mineral Representative	Ivan Zdrakovic	R
<i>First Year</i>	<i>Vacant over Summer</i>	
<i>First Year</i>	<i>Vacant over Summer</i>	
<i>First Year</i>	<i>Vacant over Summer</i>	



**University of Toronto Engineering Society  
May 2014 Board of Directors Meeting Agenda**

*May 17, 2014  
1:00pm  
GB202*

---

**A – Absent**

**AwR – Absent with Regrets**

**P – Proxy**