



March 2016 Policy and Structure Committee Meetings

Agenda

1. Look into editing Bylaw 1, Section 1.2
2. Consider matters on external relations with a recommendation to be returned to the board at the April BoD
3. Look into automatic recall procedure for discipline switching
4. Speaker conflict in Bylaw 1

Attendance

| | | March 15 |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| VP Communications | Reena Cabanilla | ✓ |
| Speaker/Minute-taker | Billy Graydon | ✓ |
| Board Member | Aidan Solala | |
| Board Member | Milan Maljkovic | ✓ |
| Engineering Society Member | Andrew Kidd | ✓ |
| Engineering Society Member | Eric Bryce | ✓ |
| Guest - Board Member | Twesh Upadhyaya | ✓ |
| Chief Returning Officer | Raffi Dergalstian | ✓ |

✓- Present



Minutes

| Item | Discussion | Final Recommendation |
|------|---|--|
| 1 | <p>Eric makes a burrito tower. Raffi praises his civ ability. Reena hands out napkins . Milan complains that his burrito is too hot. Raffi wonders what bastard sauce is.</p> <p>Raffi says Governing Council needs to approve all changes to policy. Billy asks should we add a stipulation that fee increase higher than X% needs referendum approval? Everyone else disagrees. We decide to change the word from "determined" to "approved".</p> | <p>Change "determined" to "approved" in Bylaw 1, section 1.2.2.</p> |
| 3 | <p>Milan says we should find out who we affiliate with, which conferences, etc. and find out why we disaffiliated in past. We discussed history of ESSCO, CFES, etc. Raffi says we could host an engineering conference through ESSCO, we are missing out on other perspectives since we don't. Reena says we're oldest EngSoc in canada, we may have felt that other EngSocs were useless then, but they aren't now.</p> <p>Raffi says let's talk about the conflict in bylaws. We got way off topic and argued aimlessly about what to argue about aimlessly next.</p> <p>We somehow settled on the switching out issue. Eric suggests wording "if an elected member ceases to be a member of the constituency he represents...". We derp around some more. Milan says let's get back on topic.</p> | <p>Bylaw 1, add section 4.12.1.c. Cessation of his membership of the constituency or constituencies which elected him</p> <p>Bylaw 7, add section 4.0.1.c.iii. Cessation of his membership of the constituency or constituencies which elected him</p> |



Milan leaves to use washroom.
We go back off topic.
Eric can we identify someone as a campaign assistant without his permission?
Raffi says he would probably not allow it.
Twesh says if that person does something bad you're on the hook.
Milan returns.
We get back on topic.
Milan "if someone switches from first year to second year between fall and winter semester and is forced to resign from a first year rep position as a result, I will eat [the engcomm] door"
Billy brought up a fucked up edge case in which someone can be both MIE and ECE.
Milan let's not worry about fucked up edge cases.
Billy suggested changing the rules of logic in bylaw 1 to allow contradictions.
Eric add another clause to forbid contradictions.

Milan get's get back the fuck on topic guys.
We voted unanimously to approve wording change to Bylaw 1, section 4.13.1.
Raffi asks what about discipline club chairs?
Andrew says if someone switches out then he'll be forced to drop out of 4th year.
Billy says not for ECE.
Milan, Andrew and Raffi argue about stuff actually on topic this time but Billy was too entertained to take proper minutes.
Raffi and Eric say if the only case is EE vs CE, it's a non issue.
We argue about wording, change some pronouns.
People notice how stupid these minutes are and laugh about it and get totally off topic.
We talk about Trump.



| | | |
|----------|--|---|
| | <p>We have a pity party about how likely it is that he'll become President.</p> | |
| <p>4</p> | <p>We discuss the conflict in bylaws for when Speaker is elected. Raffi suggests to cut it. We agree. We discuss how to end the sentence: "when in doubt..." Raffi says "when in doubt pull out". Milan says "that explains why I'm not familiar with it". Raffi googles it: "when in doubt whip it out". We agree to adopt this version "officially" (quote-unquote).</p> | <p>Get rid of Bylaw 1, section 4.11.3. and renumber 4.11.4. 4.11.3. Nominations and elections for the Speaker shall be held according to the Bylaw 3.</p> |
| <p>2</p> | <p>Milan says that we shouldn't just keep creating directors for every little project. Milan says external relations should be dealt by the President, not some director. Raffi says let's pawn it off on next Board. Milan says we have the experience. Eric says let's find some options, and present these options. Milan says we should break it down. Raffi says VPX could handle more menial things, but we should let President handle big liaisons. Milan says let's determine what the role would do first. We brainstorm roles that an external director would have to do [see list in right cell] We discuss SGRT/SGSU prognosis. We discuss how much money YNCN makes. Andrew says it looks as though we've hit the major responsibilities. Billy says what about bringing back VPX, and moving VPA down to a director? Eric says let's make a Chief of Staff We discuss director vs officer vs ex-officio vs whatever else the fuck we might make</p> | <p>Responsibilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Liaising with OEC and CEC - Liaising with FC for OEC and CEC funding - Bid for OEC every 5 years - First point of contact for UTSU - Examine relations with external organizations (UTSU, SGSU, YNCN, GC etc.) - NEM director <p>Options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Officer - Project Director - Ex-officio - Do nothing - New class with CRO and Speaker <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Chief of Staff? <p>Conversation to be continued with VPA and President incoming and outgoing.</p> |