



December 2016 Board of Directors Meeting

1. Adoption of the Agenda

Seconded by Apurv.

Motion carried.

2. Reports

2.1. President – Milan Maljkovic

Absent.

2.2. Vice President Finance – Andrew Boetto

Andrew: CPSEF club funding done in November, approved 44,160 for that round. Sent out call for applications for levy fund to departments. Stores got its jackets. Alcohol prices at Suds got increased by CBS. The Sponsorship Director has a number of initiatives set for new year. The Finance Committee is dealing with appeals for club funding and projects. We are also considering the Design Team Association project which is getting funding from a variety of sources, and would spend about \$45,000 from EngSoc if passed as-is. We will consult further to make a final decision.

Aron: What is the design team project request?

Andrew: It is a design team vehicle that they will collectively own to transport materials. This is projected to pay for itself by saving on transportation rental costs over about 5 years. It's also expected to be a lot more convenient.

Billy: What type of vehicle would it be? Why does it cost \$45,000? Is the vehicle new? Can Rhonda deal with the added work of dealing with the paperwork?

Andrew: It would be a GMC Sierra, new, with \$10,000 also coming from faculty. For insurance, we need to talk to the lawyers and auditors to consider all aspects of the insurance and other overhead. We may have design teams contribute to the capital costs, but it is hard to determine how much each team would use it. We need to consult with the lawyers because we'd like to have it covered by the Faculty's insurance plan and that adds complications

Mark: Have you considered car-sharing companies like ZipCar?

Kevin R.: We've looked at that and that is often done, but it is more expensive than owning a vehicle. Note that a lot of the plans Andrew mentioned are only proposed.

Billy: Most design teams don't have the ability to pay capital cost without going into debt, so I don't think that's a good direction. What is the timeline for approval?

Kevin R.: Most of the capital cost would be through operations and maintenance costs. It's been bouncing around for a couple of years, but we've dealt with most of the issues and we think that we can resolve it by the end of the year.

Andrew: I think we can resolve it and have an approval motion to the Board in the January or February board meeting.

2.3. Vice President Communications – Colin Parker

Colin: I didn't submit an officer report because I didn't make any major decisions this month, my project directors made no significant progress that I know of, and I haven't had much time to dedicate to meeting my project directors or writing a report. I had to choose between writing the report and doing some critical studying or services for people.

Apurv: When will the second attempt to hold the Annual General Meeting be?

Colin: I'm aiming for late January.

2.4. Vice President Academic – Samantha Stuart

Billy: What would it cost to go to OEN?

Samantha: Not sure

Billy: I'm concerned with the OEC-only affiliation cost.

[Minuter's note: Many speculator numbers were discussed, but not much information about the costs was available on a moment's notice. It was said that we may return to this conversation via email or in the next meeting.]

2.5. Vice President Student Life – Raneem Shammass

Absent.

2.6. Policy and Structures Committee Chair – Twesh Upadhyaya

Twesh: There was a lot of high-level discussion about what form an advocacy board should take, if at all. The PSC and AAC met together. There was a lot of pushback against the idea of creating a VP External again to coordinate this kind of structure. We're on track with ONCA compliancy and approaching internal deadlines.

3. Other Business – Presentation by Mechanical Engineering Representative Mark Chaboryk

Mark presents report from the appendix.

Mark: I intend to work with the class reps to refine this feedback

Billy: I'd recommend carefully identifying who can tackle each issue brought up with feedback. Caretaking issues and Facilities issues don't necessarily overlap.

Mark: How many signatures would you recommend?

Billy: 0. I think that the Faculty will respond to well-reasoned arguments, and signatures won't affect the impact of the report much.

Kevin R.: I think that getting support and information from discipline clubs is important

Mark: Best next steps after talking to discipline club?

December 28, 2016

5:00PM

GB 202

Kevin R.: I think that you need to pursue issues independently or in smaller groups based on importance.

MOTION by Billy Graydon to move the meeting to in-camera

Seconded by Apurv

In favour: Apurv, Mark, Muskan, Billy, Kevin R., Ryan W., Colin, Samantha, Olivia, Twesh, Andrew

Motion carried.

In-camera discussion took place and ended.

4. Adjournment

Motion carried.

Attendance

Officers		
President	Milan Maljkovic	Absent with regrets
VP Finance	Andrew Boetto	Teleconferencing
VP Communication	Colin Parker	
VP Academic	Samantha Stuart	Teleconferencing
VP Student Life	Raneem Shammass	Teleconferencing
Directors of the Board		
At-Large	Ryan Williams	
At-Large	Oghosa Igbinakenzua	Proxy to Aron Sankar
At-Large	Kevin Rupasinghe	
At-Large	Twesh Upadhyaya	Teleconferencing
Chemical Representative	Jason Tang	Teleconferencing for part of meeting
Civil Representative	Sneha Adhikari	Absent
Computer Representative	Billy Graydon	
Electrical Representative	Danja Papajani	Absent
Engineering Science Representative	Apurv Bharadwaj	
Industrial Representative	Aron Sankar	
Materials Science Representative	Mahta Massoud	
Mechanical Representative	Mark Chaboryk	
Mineral Representative	Olivia Mogielnicki	Teleconferencing
First Year	Muskan Sethi	
First Year	Danil Ojha	Proxy to Muskan Sethi
First Year	Kevin Zhang	Expressed intent to teleconference. No response when called
University of Toronto Student Union Representative	Ryan Gomes	Absent with regrets