



September 2015 Board of Directors Meeting

1. Adoption of the Agenda.

Motion by Reena to add:

MOTION by Reena Cabanilla to amend Policy 2015-06-21.

WHEREAS the new Policy on Affiliated Clubs was adopted in June; and

WHEREAS the numbering is inconsistent with our other Policies.

BE IT RESOLVE THAT the numbering for Policy 2015-06-21 be amended as presented in the Appendix Q.

Seconded by Milan.

No objections.

Motion added as new item 20 and everything is renumbered accordingly.

Motion by Ryan to move item 20 (now 21) to item 12 and add:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Engineering Society Board of Directors endorse the UTSU Board proposal put forward by Khrystyna Zhuk.

Seconded by Ernesto.

No objections.

Motion passes.

Oghosa moves to withdraw item 17.

Item 17 is struck.

Items 8, 9, 10 are struck. All other items are renumbered accordingly.

Agenda Adopted (1:45 PM)

2. Approval of the August Board of Directors Meeting Minutes (Appendix A)



No objections.

Minutes approved.

3. **Approval** of the August Board of Directors In-Camera Meeting Minutes (Appendix A1, distributed to Board members only)

No objections.

Minutes approved.

4. **Approval** of the Finance Committee Meeting Minutes (Appendix B)

No objections.

Minutes approved.

5. **Approval** of the Academic Advocacy Committee Meeting Minutes (Appendices C1-C2)

No objections.

Minutes approved.

6. **Approval** of the September Policies and Structures Committee Minutes (Appendix D)

No objections.

Minutes approved.

7. **Officer & Orientation Reports**

a. President – Ernesto Diaz Lozano Patino (Appendix E)

Tabish: What is our protection against a future team that wants to cancel the contract we have this year? Is it possible to have legal counsel?

Ernesto: We talked to our lawyer. The only way next year they can take out the contract is $\frac{2}{3}$ at their Board and our AGM.

b. VP Finance – Rachel Reding (Appendix F and Q)

c. VP Communications – Reena Cabanilla (Appendix G)

Alexei: There should be more communication about what's going on (e.g. UTSU AGM).



d. VP Academic – Oghosa Igbinakenzua (Appendix H)

Tabish: Is there a Class Rep handbook?

Oghosa: It's being prepared for Monday.

e. VP Student Life – Madeleine Santia (Appendix I)

Tabish: Props for the Clubs database. Dawn posted on the Skule Facebook group about the centralized club funding application.

Milan: It's for clubs that have members under 18.

Rachel: It was for clubs that interacted with students under 18, for privacy and permission issues.

Aidan: If the issue is interacting with students under 18, there very few clubs that have that scope.

Milan: Dawn's concern was at this point last year there were 15 clubs.

Patrice: A lot of clubs don't ask the age of participants.

8. ~~MOTION by Raffi Dergalstania~~ to ratify the results of the 2015 First Year Board of Directors Elections

~~WHEREAS~~ the Chief Returning Officer has submitted the results of the elections for the 2015-2016 Engineering Society Board of Directors, attached as Appendix Q1;

~~BE IT RESOLVED THAT~~ the results of the 2015 Engineering Society First Year Board of Directors Elections are received and ratified.

9. ~~MOTION by Raffi Dergalstania~~ to ratify the results of the 2015 Discipline Club Elections

~~WHEREAS~~ the Chief Returning Officer has submitted the results of the elections for the 2015-2016 Engineering Society Discipline Club Elections, attached as Appendix Q2;

~~BE IT RESOLVED THAT~~ the results of the 2015 Fall Engineering Society Discipline Club Elections are received and ratified.

10. ~~MOTION by Raffi Dergalstania~~ to ratify the results of the 2015 Fall Class Representative Elections Elections.



~~WHEREAS~~ the Chief Returning Officer has submitted the results of the elections for the ~~2015-2016 Engineering Society Class Representatives~~, attached as Appendix Q3;

~~BE IT RESOLVED THAT~~ the results of the 2015 Fall Engineering Society Class Representative Elections are received and ratified.

8. MOTION by Ernesto Diaz Lozano to authorize the President and VP Finance to sign the Associate Membership Agreement (Appendix J) with the Students' Administrative Council of the University of Toronto (UTSU) on behalf of the Engineering Society (ENGSOB)

WHEREAS All the full-time undergraduate engineering students are members of both UTSU and ENGSOB, and

WHEREAS A referendum held by ENGSOB in 2013 demonstrated strong support from ENGSOB's membership to advocate for a fee diversion of the engineering students' UTSU membership dues to ENGSOB, and

WHEREAS the ENGSOB officer team has negotiated with the UTSU Executive Committee an "Associate Membership Agreement" by which ENGSOB will receive 50% of the engineering students' UTSU membership fees,

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Board authorizes the ENGSOB President and VP Finance to sign the Associate Membership Agreement with the UTSU presented in the appendix.

Seconded by Aidan.

Ernesto: Getting 50% of UTSU fees is something we've been pushing for years. It's a true step in working closer with the UTSU to better serve our students. We still recognize each other as autonomous organizations. The agreement gives us 3 representatives on the UTSU Board. The UTSU will get 1 Board member added to our Board: a voting Ex-Officio member appointed by the UTSU Executive Committee. That representative will have all same rights as other Board of Director reps, but will not be subject to the automatic recall clause and specifies that that member will be a fiduciary of EngSoc. They have authority to vote. Nothing changes with membership. The UTSU will continue to provide representation. They reserve the right to deny funding to clubs. EngSoc will continue to provide services and the UTSU will get 2 pages in our Frosh Handbook. EngSoc will get 50% of our UTSU Membership fees and will distribute them through a separate bank account. It cannot be used for alcohol. We will get the fees no longer than 14 calendar days after the University gives fees to them. This does not affect levies. We have the right to audit the collection of these fees. The UTSU will not charge us extra hidden fees. This agreement will be



in effect for one year. Unilateral termination is by a $\frac{2}{3}$ vote by a Board of Directors followed by a $\frac{2}{3}$ by an Annual General Meeting of the other organization.

Milan: What if in the future we decided to push for more than 50%?

Tabish: It's being reviewed year after year. I assume that means amendments.

Rachel: Ernesto mentioned all the services that the UTSU is providing. If we wanted all the fees, we would have to take on health, dental, etc.

Aidan: I think it's a great step, but I also think we shouldn't stop in pushing more for our ultimate end goal.

Ben: My only concern is that the UTSU rep is not mandated to be an EngSoc rep. I see the possible influence of a non-Engineering student on Board affecting Engineering issues as something that concerns me.

Milan: I think it's a dangerous thing to do. The only time I see it being an issue is in the event that requires the entire board to vote unanimously. I don't think 1 member who is a non-Engineer will cause that to be an issue. It's not ideal, but I think we can still continue as an organization.

Alexei: I think the principle of the matter is important to consider. I think we can practice what the rest of the Board is doing.

Patrice: Milan mentioned there are some unanimous voting requirements?

Billy: Amending the agenda is an example.

Milan moves to call the question.

Robert B: Let's not vote until we move in-camera.

No one seconds.

Ryan moves for an in-camera discussion.

Everyone in favour. None opposed. No abstentions.

Ryan leaves at 3:00 PM.

In-camera discussion begins (3:00 PM)

In-camera discussion ends (3:37 PM)



**Billy moves to postpone Ryan's motion (item 12) until he returns.
No objections or abstentions.**

Motion carries.

Billy: The agreement will not be signed on Monday due to extenuating circumstances.

Billy moves to call the question.

Milan seconds.

Question called.

Everyone except Ryan in favour. None opposed. Ryan abstains.

Motion carries.

Karan: I'm the mailman because I always deliver.

9. DISCUSSION ITEM: UTSU Board Reform Proposal

Ryan moves to endorse the board proposal put forward by Khrystyna Zhuk

BIRT the Engineering Society Board of Directors endorse the UTSU Board proposal put forward by Khrystyna Zhuk

Motion postponed until Ryan returns.

~~12.~~ 10. SPECIAL MOTION by Ernesto Diaz Lozano to amend Bylaw 1 The Constitution

WHEREAS Bylaw 1 Section 4.1. currently reads:

4.1 Board of Directors

4.1.1 The Board shall comprise:

- a. The Five (5) Officers of the Engineering Society;
- b. Nine (9) Discipline Representatives as follows:
 - i. Chemical Engineering Representative;
 - ii. Civil Engineering Representative;
 - iii. Computer Engineering Representative;
 - iv. Electrical Engineering Representative;
 - v. Engineering Science Representative;
 - vi. Industrial Engineering Representative;
 - vii. Materials Science and Engineering Representative;



- viii. Mechanical Engineering Representative;
- ix. Mineral Engineering Representative;
- c. Three (3) Representatives from First Year
- d. Four (4) Upper Year Representatives, elected from Second, Third, Fourth Year or PEY representing the Society At- Large.

WHEREAS the UTSU Associate Membership Agreement requires that EngSoc give the UTSU a seat in the EngSoc board,

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Bylaw 1 Section 4.1 now read:

4.1 Board of Directors

4.1.1 The Board shall comprise:

- a. The Five (5) Officers of the Engineering Society;
- b. Nine (9) Discipline Representatives as follows:
 - i. Chemical Engineering Representative;
 - ii. Civil Engineering Representative;
 - iii. Computer Engineering Representative;
 - iv. Electrical Engineering Representative;
 - v. Engineering Science Representative;
 - vi. Industrial Engineering Representative;
 - vii. Materials Science and Engineering Representative;
 - viii. Mechanical Engineering Representative;
 - ix. Mineral Engineering Representative;
- c. Three (3) Representatives from First Year
- d. Four (4) Upper Year Representatives, elected from Second, Third, Fourth Year or PEY representing the Society At- Large.
- e. One (1) ex-officio director appointed by the Student's Administrative Council of the University of Toronto (UTSU) Executive committee (the UTSU Representative).
 - i. The UTSU Representative shall not be subject to the Automatic Recall provisions set forth in section 4.12 in this Bylaw
 - ii. The UTSU Representative shall be entitled to attend and be entitled to vote, on all in the same manner as any other representative of the Board.

Ernesto withdraws his motion, with permission to move it again once signed.

Ben seconds.

No objections.



Motion withdrawn.

~~13~~ 11. SPECIAL MOTION by Ernesto Diaz Lozano to amend Bylaw 1, The Constitution

WHEREAS Bylaw 1 Section 7.1 .currently reads:

7.1. Bylaw 1 (The Constitution of the University of Toronto Engineering Society)

7.1.1. Bylaw 1 shall specify the fundamental organization of the Society.

7.1.2. Bylaw 1 may only be amended by:

a. A two-thirds vote at a General Meeting; or

b. A two-thirds vote at the Board of Directors Meeting.

7.1.3. In the case of 7.1.2.b, such amendment must be ratified by a two-thirds vote at:

a. A General Meeting called for that purpose; or

b. The next Annual General Meeting.

7.1.4. If such approval has not occurred by the next Annual General Meeting the amendment is struck down and neither it nor any substantially similar amendment, may be proposed at a meeting of the Board for one year following the date of the Annual General Meeting.

WHEREAS the implications of the term “ratified” in section 7.1.3 are not clear in terms of when a Bylaw 1 amendment approved at a board meeting takes effect, and

WHEREAS the Ontario Corporations Act (OCA) Section 68 subsection 2 reads:

(2) A by-law passed under subsection (1) and a repeal, amendment or re-enactment thereof, unless in the meantime confirmed at a general meeting of the shareholders duly called for that purpose, is effective only until the next annual meeting of the shareholders unless confirmed thereat and, in default of confirmation thereat, ceases to have effect at and from that time, and in that case no new by-law of the same or like substance has any effect until confirmed at a general meeting of the shareholders.

WHEREAS the OCA clearly allows bylaw amendments to take effect immediately after being approved by the board, and remain in effect until the Annual General Meeting, where they must be confirmed,

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Bylaw 1 Section 7.1 now reads:

7.1 Bylaw 1 (The Constitution of the University of Toronto Engineering Society)

7.1.1. Bylaw 1 shall specify the fundamental organization of the Society.

7.1.2. Bylaw 1 may only be amended by:

a. A two-thirds vote at a General Meeting; or



b. A two-thirds vote at the Board of Directors Meeting.
7.1.3. In the case of 7.1.2.b, such amendment is effective only until the next Annual General Meeting unless confirmed thereat and, in default of confirmation thereat, ceases to have effect at and from that time, and in that case no new by-law of the same or like substance has any effect until confirmed at a General Meeting. If such approval has not occurred by the next Annual General Meeting the amendment is struck down and neither it nor any substantially similar amendment may be proposed at a meeting of the Board for one year following the date of the Annual General Meeting.

Milan seconds.

Ernesto: I took wording from the actual law. The problem with previous wording was the word “ratify”. It’s not clear if the amendment takes effect at the Board meeting or after the AGM. It would be legal for us to be more strict than the OCA.

Karan: It’s pretty much what we do now.

No objections. Ryan abstains. Everyone else in favour.

Motion carries.

Rob G moves for 2 minute recess.

Maddy seconds.

Milan objects.

Recess fails.

Aidan moves for a 10 minute recess.

Rob G seconds.

In favour: Reena, Maddy, Aidan, Rob G, Milan, Ogi, Apurv

Motion carries.

Meeting back to order (3:59 PM)

~~14.~~ 12. SPECIAL MOTION by Ernesto Diaz Lozano to ratify the Discipline Club Constitutions

WHEREAS Bylaw 7 Section 1.0.4.b states that the Board may decline the release of Discipline Club Funding if the club does not have a constitution that has been



ratified by the Board or is not in compliance with its Board-approved Constitution; and

WHEREAS the President has gone through Discipline Club constitutions to verify their compliance.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the following Discipline Club constitutions submitted as Appendices K1 and K2 be ratified by the Board of Directors: Chemical Club and Mechanical Club

Milan seconds.

Milan calls the question.

Question called.

No objections. Not present: Rob G, Ogi, Apurv, Rachel, Amy, Ryan.

Motion passes.

Milan moves to debate item 16.

No objections. Motion carries.

Debate item 16:

16. SPECIAL MOTION by Reena Cabanilla to amend the Bylaws and Policies.

WHEREAS the PSC has reviewed the Bylaws and Policies for spelling and grammar;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the revised version of the Bylaws and Policies as presented in Appendices N be adopted.

Reena: The Policy and Structures Committee decided to edit spelling and grammar. There were no changes to content, but it gave us better idea of what to edit next.

No objections.

Not present: Rob G, Ogi, Apurv, Rachel, Amy, Ryan.

Motion passed.

Ogi, Apurv and Amy return.



Debate item 17:

17. MOTION by Reena Cabanilla to amend Policy 2015-06-21.

WHEREAS the new Policy on Affiliated Clubs was adopted in June; and

WHEREAS the numbering is inconsistent with our other Policies.

BE IT RESOLVE THAT the numbering for Policy 2015-06-21 be amended as presented in the Appendix Q.

No objections.

Not present: Rob G, Rachel, Ryan.

Motion carries.

Rob G and Rachel return.

Debate item 15:

15. SPECIAL MOTION by Reena Cabanilla to amend Bylaw 2, the Directors Bylaw

WHEREAS the PSC has reviewed and revised Bylaw 3, which was approved in the August Board of Directors meeting; and

WHEREAS there are sections in Bylaw 2 that are affected by these revisions;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the revised version of Bylaw 2 as presented in Appendix M be adopted.

Milan moves to suspend Robert's Rules.

Seconded by Apurv.

Ernesto amends section 2.1.1.i. from "Metallurgy and Materials Science" to "Materials Science" and 2.1.1.j. from "Mining" to "Mineral Engineering".

Robert's Rules are no longer suspended.



No objections. Amendments pass.

Ben leaves at 4:09 PM.

Ryan returns at 4:16 PM.

No objections. No abstentions. Everyone in favour.

Motion carries.

Debate item 9 (4:39 PM):

Ryan moves to endorse the board proposal put forward by Khrystyna Zhuk.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the engsoc board endorse the board proposal put forward by Khrystyna Zhuk

Ryan: The UTSU AGM is coming up. We will be debating the UTSU restructuring. There are 2 board proposals. Zhuk's maintains the current structure and adds equity seats. The other proposal decreases all College and ProFac seats to just 1. Engineering's seats go from 3 to 1. It increases UTM's seats and has 12 equity seats. Instead of general equity seats it's specific. I think it's in the best interest of Engineers to have as many seats as possible. We're the largest division outside of UTM and UTSC. I think we should have a VP ProFac. A seat on the Executive Committee for Professional Faculties opens it up for Engineering students to be involved in the Executive Committee. I think we should support Zhuk's proposal because it keeps our seats and the College's seats.

Aidan: What was the rationale for reducing every constituency seat to 1?

Ryan: I think one of justifications was that we should equalize the number of seats of UTM with St. George, which doesn't make sense because we have different numbers.

Milan: How many seats does UTM currently carry?

Ryan: 7

Robert B: UTM gets to appoint 7 directors, plus their president, so in practice it's 8. Our Board voted to endorse Plan B (Zhuk's plan) when there was 5. In terms of motivation, it's a lot of the same stuff that came up last year: UTSU is not a federation, we want to move away from that idea to represent, also the Board will get too large. UTM seats can't change due to the contract. The seats are based on a population scale, except UTM.



Karan: UTM comes to UTSU meetings in large packs of people. It's important we come to the meeting and support Khrystyna's proposal.

Milan: Are there any ramifications in endorsing this?

Ryan: SGRT has formally or informally endorsed it. Innis, Vic, most colleges and Music have endorsed it. There are not any ramifications perse, but it sends stronger message to our membership.

Aidan: I see potential danger because in the past we've taken a stance in remaining neutral. Since it's related to us, it's internal and affects us directly.

Ernesto moves to call question.

No objections.

Question called.

No one opposed. No abstentions. Everyone in favour.

Motion carries.

15. 13. MOTION by Rachel Reding to approve the changes to the Operating Budget of the Engineering Society

WHEREAS the Vice President Finance is required to prepare a budget of operating expenses for the year, in accordance with the Bylaws of the society; and

WHEREAS it is prudent to update the budget throughout the year based on actual expenditures and changing circumstances;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the changes to the Operating Budget of the Engineering Society as outlined in Appendices L1 and L2 be approved.

Rachel: The new budget is in the appendix and there is a table with differences.

Milan: What happened to Sysadmin?

Rachel: Originally there was no one in that position, but now they have a budget.

Milan: And for Alumni Outreach?



Rachel: After reviewing their budget, based on what they proposed we made changes because felt it was reasonable. We didn't have a Finance Committee meeting before the Summer Budget was made.

Ernesto: Audit and legal changed because we know how much the Audit costs. We cut it to \$20 000: \$6 000 for audit, \$8000 for legal. Club funding went down and Special Projects because all the Directors budget that were approved took us down to a \$24 000 deficit. We cut down a bit bearing in mind the UTSU deal. We're still budgeting for \$8 000 deficit, but I'm comfortable with it because we know how we operate. Clubs and Directors won't use all of our money.

Rachel: Originally we had a \$24 000 deficit, so we brought up to \$8 000. Last year Mehran budgeted for -\$6 000 and they ended up making \$50 000. We would rather be closer to \$0.

Rob G: We are a non-profit organization.

Aidan moves to call the question.

Milan seconds.

Question called.

Everyone in favour. No objections or abstentions.

Motion carries.

MOTION by Rachel Reding to amend the Discipline Club funding formula as stated in Bylaw 7;

WHEREAS the funding formula currently states that discipline clubs receive \$1000 + \$4.50x where x is the number of students in that discipline; and

WHEREAS the funding formula has not been increased according to EngSoc Fee increases since 2012; and

WHEREAS the Finance Committee calculated a proposed increase to the discipline funding formula according to the EngSoc Fee increase and presented this at the August 2015 BOD Meeting;

BE IT RESOLVED that the funding formula be changed to \$1036.80 + \$4.66x where x is the number of students enrolled in that discipline.

Ogi seconds.



Ernesto: We amended the bylaw at the last meeting. If we increase the membership fee, we should increase the formula accordingly. At end of this year, we the approve increase.

No objections. No abstentions. Everyone in favour.

Motion carries.

~~16.~~ 14. MOTION by Rachel Reding to approve 2015-2016 Discipline Club Funding

WHEREAS the release of funding to recognized Discipline Clubs is authorized annually by the Board per Bylaw 7; and

WHEREAS the Finance Committee has reviewed and approved budgets from all of the nine (9) Discipline Clubs at the August 2015 Finance Committee Meeting as per Bylaw 7; and

WHEREAS final enrolment numbers are not yet available from the Registrar's Office;

BE IT RESOLVED that funding be released to the following Discipline Clubs according to the following amounts, 60% to be disbursed following receipt of student fees by the Society, 30% in January 2015 and 10% in March 2015:

- Chemical Engineering Club - \$3,403.00
- Civil Engineering Club - \$3,502.00
- Computer Engineering Club - \$2,993.50
- Electrical Engineering Club - \$5,189.50
- Industrial Engineering Club - \$2,872.00
- Mechanical Engineering Club - \$4,627.00
- Engineering Science Club - \$1720.00
- Materials Science Club - \$5608.00

Rachel: We have numbers from the Registrar's.

Rachel amends:

BE IT RESOLVED that funding be released to the following Discipline Clubs according to the following amounts, 60% to be disbursed following receipt of student fees by the Society, 30% in January 2015 and 10% in March 2015:

- TrackOne Committee - \$2 043.36**
- Chemical Engineering Club - \$4023.86**
- Civil Engineering Club - \$3404.08**
- Mineral Engineering Club - \$1647.26**
- Computer Engineering Club - \$3898.04**
- Electrical Engineering Club - \$5226.14**



Industrial Engineering Club - \$3077.88
Mechanical Engineering Club - \$5035.08
Engineering Science Club – \$5920.48
Materials Science Club - \$2048.02

Karan: this amendment is being made in context of the last formula change, but formula change hasn't been approved?

Billy: The formula change is the Bylaw change. If we approve these dispersement, when this is approved, dispersements will be approved. The minutes got approved when this got approved.

Ryan: Technically it's passed when the meeting adjourned.

No objections.

Amendment passes.

No objections. No abstentions. Everyone in favour.

Motion carries.

~~17. Motion by Oghosa Igbinakenzua to reopen nominations for Board of Directors seat the Academic Advocacy Committee~~

~~**WHEREAS** the Faculty Council and Faculty Council Standing Committees will be preparing for a variety of academic initiatives this year; and~~

~~**WHEREAS** the Representatives of the Engineering Society, as the unified voice of the student body, require guidance and direction from a committee of interested students in their representation to Faculty Council and its Standing Committees; and~~

~~**WHEREAS** initiatives such as an Engineering Society anti-calendar, mid-course evaluations, and continuous curriculum improvements require student input;~~

~~**WHEREAS** that the Academic Advocacy Committee be composed of the VP Academic, three (3) members of the Board of Directors, and Three (3) Faculty of Engineering students; and one (1) representative from each discipline appointed by discipline club chairs~~

~~**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Board of Directors direct the Speaker to conduct the nominations and election process for the three (3) Board of Directors members of the Academic Advocacy Committee immediately.~~



18. 15. SPECIAL MOTION by Reena Cabanilla to amend Bylaw 2, the Directors Bylaw

WHEREAS the PSC has reviewed and revised Bylaw 3, which was approved in the August Board of Directors meeting; and

WHEREAS there are sections in Bylaw 2 that are affected by these revisions;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the revised version of Bylaw 2 as presented in Appendix M be adopted.

Debated after item 17.

19. 16. SPECIAL MOTION by Reena Cabanilla to amend the Bylaws and Policies.

WHEREAS the PSC has reviewed the Bylaws and Policies for spelling and grammar;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the revised version of the Bylaws and Policies as presented in Appendices N be adopted.

Debated after item 12.

20. 17. MOTION by Reena Cabanilla to amend Policy 2015-06-21.

WHEREAS the new Policy on Affiliated Clubs was adopted in June; and

WHEREAS the numbering is inconsistent with our other Policies.

BE IT RESOLVE THAT the numbering for Policy 2015-06-21 be amended as presented in the Appendix Q.

Debated after item 12 and 16.

~~20. DISCUSSION ITEM: UTSU Board Reform Proposal~~

21. 18. DISCUSSION ITEM: Skule Endowment Fund Committee Representatives' approval procedure (Appendix P)

Ernesto: I circulated Appendix P. The Skule Endowment Fund Committee is not under EngSoc, it's an independent committee. The Board has the right to approve 3 members. I want to discuss how to appoint those people. We are meeting sometime in November. We would need to appoint those reps sometime in October. We need to make sure the Board is on board. Rachel proposed that she will send out a nomination form for candidates and then the Board will select the



three people or we vote here. I'm in favour of first one. I think should make this available to members at large.

Milan moves to suspend Robert's Rules.

Aidan seconds.

No objections.

Robert's Rules suspended.

Milan: As Board members, many of us are on committees and we're busy. I'm in favour of opening it to members. I think it's a good idea.

Aidan: Who else sits on this committee?

Ernesto: Me, Rachel, 3 reps, the Dean, the Registrar and an Engineering Alumni Association rep.

Aidan: Now I'm less concerned about having a Board rep because we have the direction of the President and VP Finance. I think it's better to open up to more members.

Ryan: I agree with Aidan.

Rob G: What if only Board members end up applying?

Ernesto: It's fine.

Milan: The purpose was to have student representation on this, whether it be a Board member, Council, etc.

Rachel: We also want to give other people the chance to be involved.

Robert's Rules are no longer suspended.

22. 19. OTHER BUSINESS

Karan: I went to skule.ca/documents and the appendix is not on there.

Reena: Look on skule.ca/minutes. The agenda up there but I haven't had the time to put up the appendix.

Ryan: Is it possible for VP Comm to send out a notification of the endorsement and benefits?



Aidan: In the email, remind everyone on PEY that they have a vote.

Apurv: Is there something on the announcements?

Ryan: I put something on the Digest.

Reena: Read the Digest.

Peter moves to adjourn.

Ryan seconds.

Oghosa opposed.

Everyone else in favour.

~~23.~~ 20. ADJOURNMENT (5:17 PM)



Attendance

Officers		
President	Ernesto Diaz Lozano Patino	
VP Finance	Rachel Reding	
VP Communication	Reena Cabanilla	
VP Academic	Oghosa Igbinakenzua	
VP Student Life	Madeleine Santia	
Directors of the Board		
At-Large	Kevin Rupasinghe	
At-Large	Ryan Gomes	
At-Large	Aidan Solala	
At-Large	John Sweeney	
Chemical Representative	Jason Tang	
Civil Representative	Peter Luo	
Computer Representative	Milan Maljkovic	
Electrical Representative	Andrew Boetto	
Engineering Science Representative	Apurv Bharadwaj	
Industrial Representative	Benjamin Leung	
Materials Science Representative	Amy Zhao	
Mechanical Representative	Robert Goldberg	
Mineral Representative	Ognjen Kelec	
<i>First Year</i>	<i>Vacant</i>	
<i>First Year</i>	<i>Vacant</i>	
<i>First Year</i>	<i>Vacant</i>	
Speaker (Non-Voting)	Billy Graydon	
Members of the Society (Non-Voting)		

A – Absent

AwR – Absent with Regrets

P – Proxy